



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450

**MAILED**

**FEB 03 2011**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

**Intel Corporation  
c/o CPA Global  
P.O. Box 52050  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402**

In re Application of :  
Mark LEWIS : DECISION GRANTING PETITION  
Application No. 09/892,553 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)  
Filed: 28 June 2001 :  
Atty. Docket No. P 279171 P11166 :

This is a decision on the Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed 17 May 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The Petition is **GRANTED**.


The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice of Allowance mailed 29 September 2009 ("Notice"), which set a statutory period of reply of three (3) months. No reply was received. The Application thus became abandoned on 30 December 2009, and a Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 13 January 2010.

The Petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) by including (1) a reply in the form of payment of the Issue and Publication fees, (2) a petition fee of \$1620.00, and (3) a statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the reply to the Notice is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the Application. Further, it is not apparent whether the signer of the Petition was in a position to have firsthand knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of the delay. See, 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (10 October 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (21 October 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, Petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, Petitioner must notify the Office.

General inquiries relating to this decision should be directed to Robert DeWitty, Petitions Examiner, Office of Petitions (571-272-6051), or, if unavailable, the undersigned at 571-272-7099.

The application file will be referred to the Office of Data Management.

  
David Bucco  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions